



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/137,393	08/20/1998	PIJUSH K. DEWANJEE	DSCK-525-C3	2824

7590 12/01/2004

ANTHONY M LORUSSO
LORUSSO & LOUD
440 COMMERCIAL STREET
BOSTON, MA 02109

EXAMINER

SERGEANT, RABON A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1711

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

112904

DATE MAILED:


Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on September 20, 2004 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Firstly, applicants have not addressed the objection to the disclosure, set forth within paragraph 2 of the Office action of March 15, 2004. Secondly, despite applicants' response, the rejection, pertaining to the NCO% content, of claim 43 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, set forth within paragraph 5 of the Office action has not been addressed. Thirdly, despite applicants' response, the rejection, pertaining to "about the same", of claims 37 and 38 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, set forth within paragraph 8 of the Office action has not been addressed. Lastly, the rejection of claim 53 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph set forth within paragraph 13 of the Office action has not been clearly addressed. Applicants' argument is concerned with "liquid" being confusing; however, the issue of the rejection is that "based" renders the claim indefinite. In light of applicants' amendment, it is further unclear what is meant by "polyurethane based liquid reactants". See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).**

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

R. Sergent
November 29, 2004


Rabon Sergent
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1711